

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,524	(	09/15/2003	Michio Horiuchi	300.1124 8037	
21171	7590	09/05/2006		EXAMINER	
STAAS &	HALSEY	LLP	WALKER, KEITH D		
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1745	
				DATE MAILED: 09/05/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u>-</u>		0				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Comments	10/661,524	HORIUCHI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Keith Walker	1745					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depend for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the solution of the sol	N. imely filed m the mailing date of this communicatio ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status -		•						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Se	eptember 2003.						
·		action is non-final.						
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims	•						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[X]	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b)□ objected to by the	Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •					
44)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	,	·	•				
11)[_]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage					
* 0	application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ad					
	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receiv	ea.					
Attachmen		<b></b>	(200					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D						
3) 🔲 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal						
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/661,524

Art Unit: 1745

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-3 & 5-15, drawn to a fuel cell, classified in class 429, subclass 45.
  - Claim 4, drawn to fuel cell with specific container filler, classified in class
     429, subclass 13.
- 2. Inventions I and II are directed to related products. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed have different designs and functions. The fuel cell of Group II has filled spaces where ignition is not possible and has limitations drawn to exhaust ports. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. A telephone call was made to H. J. Staas on August 30, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Keith Walker whose telephone number is 571-272-3458. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. 8am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,524

Art Unit: 1745

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

K. Walker

PATRICK JOSEPH RYAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER